

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No.	:	10/713,240	Confirmation No.	1165
Applicants	:	Khaled El-Maleh, et al.		
Filed	:	November 13, 2003		
Art Unit	:	2621		
Examiner	:	Tung T. Vo		
Docket No.	:	030361		
Customer No.	:	23696		

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir:

In a telephonic interview initiated by Applicant's representative, James L. Shands, on January 5, 2009, Examiner Tung T. Vo and Applicant's representatives Steven J. Shumaker and James L. Shands (the "parties") discussed the above-referenced application. The parties generally discussed the differences between the invention defined by claims 1 and 6 and the disclosures of by U.S. Patent No. 6,421,386 to Chung et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,280,597 to Zhang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,697,430 to Yasunari et al., and U.S. Patent No. 5,832,234 to Iverson et al.

Applicant's representatives explained that the applied references fail to disclose or suggest performing a motion estimation search and using motion information determined during the motion estimation search to determine whether a portion of a video frame comprises at least a predetermined amount of predictive information upon determining texture information indicated that the portion does not comprise at most a predetermined amount of spatial information, as in originally filed claim 6.

No exhibits were shown. No agreement was reached.

Applicant thanks Examiner Vo for discussing the present application with Applicant's representatives on January 5, 2009.

Dated: February 23, 2009

By: 

Matthew J. Evans, Reg. No. 56,530

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
Attn: Patent Department  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121-1714  
Telephone: (858) 651-7571  
Facsimile: (858) 845-3983